EXHIBIT 4

CONFIDENTIAL

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CASE NO. 1:22-cv-01633 (PKC/SN)
3	
4	DEVIN G. NUNES,
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs.
7	NBCUNIVERSAL MEDIA, LLC
8	Defendant.
9	
10	* * * CONFIDENTIAL * * *
11	CONFIDENTIAL TRANSCRIPT of the
12	stenographic notes of the deposition of RACHEL MADDOW
13	in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before
14	LORRAINE B. ABATE, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and
15	Notary Public of the State of New York, and
16	Registered Professional Reporter, held at the offices
17	of DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP, 1251 Avenue of the
18	Americas, New York, New York on September 19, 2024,
19	commencing at 10:14 a.m., pursuant to Notice.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
           CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
                  Okay. On the devices that we just
           0.
 9
     discussed that were not issued to you by NBC --
10
           Α.
                  Yes?
11
           0.
                  -- do you ever use those to communicate
12
     with your colleagues at NBC?
                  Yes, but in a different way than I would
13
           Α.
14
     use my NBC devices.
15
           Ο.
                  How so?
16
                  Like I, for example, will text with Cory
           Α.
17
     or with other staffers occasionally using my personal
18
     phone, but not for editorial purposes; for logistics
19
     purposes. So I'm stuck in traffic, I'm going to be
20
     late for the news meeting, do you mind if we start it
21
     on Zoom, and when I get cut off in the elevator,
22
     let's switch over to -- you know. Or let's get
23
     sushi, or -- you know, so personal, logistical
24
     things.
25
                  But when it comes to editorial, when it
```

1 CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024 2 Incidental discussion in the personal Α. 3 context. 4 Ο. And do you know if anybody has looked at 5 any of your personal devices about this litigation? 6 Α. No. 7 Q. Okay. We know you -- you communicate by 8 e-mail; is that right? 9 Α. Yes. Do you communicate by text message? 10 Q. 11 In general in my life? Α. 12 Yeah. 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. Okay. And I take it that's probably 15 both professionally and personally? 16 Yes, although less texting for Α. 17 professional purposes. 18 Q. Yeah. Same. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024 2 recollection as to if you were aware if anyone fact checked the statement he has refused to hand it over 3 to the FBI? 4 5 Objection. MS. McNAMARA: This is a mischaracterization. She has now twice --6 7 MR. BINNALL: I'm not -- I'm not looking for speaking objections or -- I'm -- I just need 8 9 a legal basis for the objection so it doesn't 10 impact the testimony of the witness. 11 Α. Can you repeat the question. 12 MR. BINNALL: Can you read it back, 13 please. 14 (The record was read.) 15 MS. McNAMARA: Same objection. It's a 16 mischaracterization of the testimony she's given 17 multiple times. 18 MR. BINNALL: And again, I'm not looking 19 for any speaking objections. 20 Α. What you are asking me for does not 21 reflect the reality of how the news process -- the 22 news production process works. So the -- you keep 23 asking about an individual assignment of a specific 24 fact to a specific entity for a fact-checking 25 That's not the way the fact-checking process.

- 1 sent these packages as a sanctioned Russian agent
- 2 CONFIDENTIAL Maddow September 19, 2024
- 3 effectively; whereupon, the -- Congressman Nunes --
- 4 Nunes' refusal to comment on whether -- on the
- 5 reporting that he had not handed it over to the FBI
- 6 took on sort of new urgency and new weight, because
- 7 at that point, everybody knew the import of his
- 8 receiving this package, which he did not deny.
- 9 Democrats on the committee had produced a shipping
- 10 receipt that seemed to prove that he had received it.
- 11 All of this reporting was undisputed. He had been
- 12 asked for comment and had not commented on this
- 13 matter.
- 14 And so at that point, the
- 15 longstanding -- remember, the -- the Politico piece
- 16 was from July of 2020. I did not do my piece until
- 17 March of 2021, and at that point, the -- the age of
- 18 his refusal to comment on this was itself an
- 19 important data point, given everything else that had
- 20 happened since then. I mean, I -- I wrote this
- 21 script in March of 2021 with no doubts as to the
- 22 accuracy of this information, and I still have no
- 23 doubts about it.
- 24 Q. So you would say the same thing on the
- 25 air today?

1 I mean, in the grand -- in the grand Α. 2 CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024 3 totality of the matter, I don't know that the facts 4 are any different than what I understood them to be, 5 and I didn't have any doubts about the accuracy of 6 the statement. 7 And you still don't? 0. And in fact, the information that 8 Α. No. 9 has developed since has only bolstered this, my 10 understanding of the accuracy of that reporting. mean, I don't know if it's okay for me to talk about 11 12 this, so I can't ask you to stop me if it's not. 13 But once -- once we did this report in 14 March of 2021, we got a letter from a lawyer 15 representing Mr. Nunes contesting elements of the 16 I don't remember exactly what it was, but I 17 do remember that at that point, we said, oh, does 18 this mean that you would now like to comment on 19 whether or not you gave this item to the FBI? If you 20 do -- if I said something about that that is wrong, I 21 would very much like to know what's right. since we're in communication about this now, can you 22 23 tell me, did you hand it over to the FBI? And we got 24 no response to that. 25 And so I feel like if Mr. Nunes had an

- 1 issue with the accuracy of that claim specifically,
- 2 CONFIDENTIAL Maddow September 19, 2024
- 3 once we were talking offline about it and he was
- 4 raising that issue and wanted to contest it, that was
- 5 a -- yet another great opportunity for him to tell me
- 6 whether or not he handed this to the FBI, and he
- 7 didn't. And so Politico's reporting seemed to me to
- 8 be accurate at the time. I had no doubts about it,
- 9 and I don't have any doubts about it since. In fact,
- 10 Mr. Nunes' behavior since then, specifically toward
- 11 NBC on this matter, would seem to bolster it.
- 12 O. But you didn't ask him for comment
- 13 before the show in April (sic) of 2021, correct?
- 14 A. Not on this matter, no. We had asked
- 15 Mr. Nunes for comment on other occasions, I believe,
- 16 but not on this.
- 17 O. And you didn't -- and you didn't ask the
- 18 FBI for comment before your show in April (sic) 2021
- 19 either, correct?
- 20 A. No. The -- the show in -- in March of
- 21 2021. That's what you're talking about?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. In March of 2021, was not us developing
- 24 and presenting newly-reported information. We were
- 25 taking a number of data points that were in the

- 1 public record and lining them up and presenting them.
- 2 CONFIDENTIAL Maddow September 19, 2024
- 3 And so at that point, the request to comment to the
- 4 FBI and the request to comment to Congressman Nunes
- 5 from Politico was relevant to the Politico reporting,
- 6 and we presented it as such.
- 7 And the other data points, including the
- 8 ODNI report and the letter to the FBI and the
- 9 transcript with Congressman Maloney and Congressman
- 10 Maloney's characterization of what had happened
- inside the Intelligence Committee, it was all in the
- 12 public record, and we were not advancing any of those
- 13 stories at all, but rather, combining them into an
- 14 explanatory narrative that hopefully made sense of
- 15 this issue for our audience.
- 16 O. Are you aware of any other information
- 17 other than what we've already discussed that supports
- 18 the statement he has refused to hand it over to the
- 19 FBI?
- 20 MS. McNAMARA: You're asking at the time
- 21 this was published?
- Q. At any time, are you aware?
- MS. McNAMARA: Well, I think the
- 24 relevant inquiry here is the time it was
- 25 published. If you're asking --

1 MR. BINNALL: We can we can	talk
2 CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19,	2024
3 about relevance. We can talk about re	levance
4 later. My	
5 MS. McNAMARA: No. This isn't	a
6 relevance question, because if she know	ws
7 information from counsel, it is privile	eged
8 information	
9 MR. BINNALL: Okay. Yes.	
10 MS. McNAMARA: and I instruct	t her not
11 to answer. So I'm if you want to po	ose this
12 question as to information she knew at	the time
she published this, that is an appropri	iate
14 question.	
MR. BINNALL: Here is what I'll	do.
Q. Other than communications you've	e had
17 with your lawyers, are you aware of any other	r
18 information that bears to the accuracy of the	е
19 statement he has refused to hand it over to	the FBI?
20 A. Again, I do not want to get in	trouble,
21 and I can't ask you for help in avoiding that	t.
Q. I don't want to know conversation	ons you
23 had with your lawyers.	
24 A. Which is where we're up against	
25 because	

1	Q. Yeah.
2	CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024
3	A when I did this
4	MS. McNAMARA: Then then if do not
5	answer I instruct you not to answer if, to
6	answer that question, it would call for
7	information you've learned as part of this
8	communications with counsel.
9	MR. BINNALL: Hold on. There's
10	there's something important here.
11	Communications are protected. Facts are not
12	protected.
13	Q. And so are and so if she is aware of
14	facts, and I don't want to know about your
15	communications with your lawyers, but if you are
16	aware of facts that bear on the accuracy of the
17	statement he has refused to hand it over to the FBI,
18	I want to know what those are.
19	MS. McNAMARA: And I give the same
20	instruction to the witness. If you learned any
21	such information from communications from
22	counsel, including facts that are communicated
23	to you by or you know, or allegations or
24	anything else communicated by counsel, those are
25	privileged communications, and I instruct you

- 1 not to answer them.
- 2 CONFIDENTIAL Maddow September 19, 2024
- A. As a non-lawyer, I'm going to do my best
- 4 here, which is when Congressman Nunes, through
- 5 counsel, complained after I did this segment, I am
- 6 aware that the letter back to him said did you give
- 7 it to the FBI, then? Is that what you're telling me?
- 8 Does this mean if -- in essence, does this mean that
- 9 you gave it to the FBI? And there was no response.
- 10 To me, that further bolstered my sense of the
- 11 accuracy of that reporting.
- 12 And so that's -- is that a fact or is
- 13 that a communication with counsel? I don't know.
- 14 But that's the thing.
- 15 Q. So is there any other facts that you're
- 16 aware of that would bolster the -- that would go to
- 17 the accuracy of the statement he has refused to hand
- 18 it over to the FBI?
- 19 MS. McNAMARA: Other than what she's
- 20 testified?
- 21 Q. Other than what you already testified
- 22 to.
- 23 A. I -- no, I don't have any -- I don't
- 24 know if -- if you're just asking the same question
- 25 again, I don't have an additional thing.

```
1
                  Okay. We've exhausted the universe of
           Ο.
 2
           CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024
 3
     what you based that statement on now; is that right?
 4
                  MS. McNAMARA:
                                 Objection.
                                             I mean, as
           she's sitting here today, what she can remember?
 5
                  MR. BINNALL:
                                I want to --
 6
 7
           Α.
                  Can we just -- okay.
 8
                  One last thing. Sorry. I'm not just
 9
     flipping pages to do a mime act here.
                                            I'm actually
10
     looking for -- if you are literally asking me about
     the whole universe of things in the world, there's
11
12
     only one other thing that I would add. I was just
     seeing -- just looking to see if we had reference to
13
14
     it in any of these exhibits, and I don't think we do.
15
     And that is that in 2020, I did an interview with
16
     somebody who was involved in this foreign influence
17
     operation, and one of the subjects that I raised in
18
     that interview was whether or not this guy, who was
19
     involved in this foreign influence operation and in
20
     contact with Russian agents, whether he had had any
21
     interactions with Congressman Nunes. And the
22
     interview subject told me that yes, he had. And at
23
     the time, Congressman Nunes was denying that he had
24
     ever had any contact with this guy, and that he knew
25
     anything about him and that he never had any
```

1 interactions with him. 2 CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024 That was not on the direct matter of 3 4 whether or not Congressman Nunes had handed matters 5 to the FBI, but it did inform my thinking about how forthright, or rather, how disingenuous he seemed to 6 7 be in his public remarks about this particular scandal. And it made me sort of put up my antenna 8 9 around his furtive and secretive and evasive 10 responses when it came to explaining his own role, his own responsibility, and his own sort of 11 12 patriotism or lack thereof when it came to 13 involvement in this operation that was targeting our 14 country. 15 And so I say that not because it was 16 about specifically him handing that document to the 17 FBI, but it put me on alert to the nuances of what he 18 was willing to talk about and what he was not. 19 his refusal to comment when confronted directly with 20 the reporting in Politico about -- in which they had 21 a source that said he didn't hand it to the FBI, to 22 me, that seemed like relevant and textual 23 information. 24 So I'm sorry that that's a little far 25 afield, but if you want my total honest answer about

```
people, and that's why they're in politics.
 1
 2
           CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024
 3
     think that Congressman Nunes is awkward and not great
 4
     with people, and I sort of empathize with that, and
 5
     kind of like -- like I sort of -- I see myself as --
     I think he and I are probably, in terms of the way
 6
     our brains are wired, a little bit of the same kind
 7
     of person, and so I imagine that politics isn't easy
 8
 9
     for him. So I have a little bit of like personal
10
     empathy with him.
11
                  Like I -- there are -- yeah.
                                                Like in
12
    high school, it was like jocks and nerds, and most
     politicians are jocks, and I was a nerd, and I think
13
14
     of him as kind of being kind of a nerd, so there's
15
     that.
           That's my sense of his personality.
16
                  And then honestly, in a less flattering
17
     way, I did think that his -- the reason that he said
18
     why he left Congress, which was to go work for this
19
     media company, and he made sort of a big splash out
20
     of it being a -- a free speech thing that he was
21
     doing because he was such a free speech guy, I found
22
     to be ironic and hypocritical given his -- I mean,
23
     the thing that he's most famous for, which is suing
24
     people who say things that annoy him.
                                            If he's a free
25
     speech absolutist, then that's worse than giving up
```

- 1 an incredibly powerful and important career in
- 2 CONFIDENTIAL Maddow September 19, 2024
- 3 Congress because you're so invested in free speech,
- 4 but all you've done in public life other than sort of
- 5 in Congress is sue people who say things you disagree
- 6 with. It's just -- it's hard for me to square, and
- 7 it makes me feel like there's something about him I
- 8 don't understand.
- 9 Q. It's fair to -- it's accurate to say
- 10 that your political opinions and his political
- 11 opinions are probably divergent?
- 12 A. I would guess. I mean, I don't know
- 13 that much about his personal opinions. I know about
- 14 his political actions. So I would guess that, you
- 15 know, I -- I think that I'm a liberal and he's a
- 16 conservative, so we probably disagree on most things,
- 17 but you never know. I don't -- I mean, I also fish
- 18 and drive a pickup truck. Maybe we have a lot more
- 19 in common than I think.
- 20 (DIR)
- 21 Q. But your political opinions are in line
- 22 with what you say in your show, right?
- 23 MS. McNAMARA: Objection, and I think
- that her personal politics are not at issue
- 25 here. What is -- she publishes on the show is

1	at issue.
2	CONFIDENTIAL - Maddow - September 19, 2024
3	So I really I've allowed this
4	testimony. I'm not going to allow further
5	testimony on her personal opinions distinct from
6	what's published.
7	MR. BINNALL: Are you instructing her
8	not to answer the question?
9	MS. McNAMARA: I am instructing her not
10	to answer.
11	MR. BINNALL: Let's go ahead and mark
12	this, and we can come back to it if we need to.
13	Q. Are to your knowledge, are ratings
14	important in your profession?
15	A. In a in a general sense, yes.
16	Q. Why?
17	A. Ratings are related to ad rates, as far
18	as I know. Although, the business is changing so
19	much now, I don't even technically know that that's
20	true any more. But I and anybody who's involved
21	in broadcasting I think has a general sense that more
22	people watching is better than fewer people watching.
23	Q. And do you have a sense of what the
24	demographic is that watches your show?
25	A. Not really. No, I don't.
1	

```
1
                  CERTIFICATION
 2
 3
     STATE OF NEW YORK
                            )
 4
                            )
                                 ss:
 5
     COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER
 6
 7
           I, LORRAINE B. ABATE, a Certified Shorthand
 8
 9
     Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York
10
     and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby
     certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate
11
12
     transcript of my original stenographic notes taken of
13
    RACHEL MADDOW at the time and place hereinbefore set
14
     forth.
15
           I further certify that I am not related, by
16
    blood or marriage, to any of the parties in this
17
     matter and that I am in no way interested in the
     outcome of this matter.
18
19
20
     /s/ Lorraine B. Abate
21
                     LORRAINE B. ABATE, CSR, RPR
22
                     License No. 000965
23
    Dated: September 27, 2024
24
25
```